

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/682,715	10/10/2001	Giovanni Del Signore		3253
29579 GLOV A NINII	7590 07/18/2002 I DEL SIGNORE		EXAMI	INER
VIA SAN M	ATTEO IN ARCETRI 25 50125		HOEY, BETSEY MORRISON	
ITALY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	6
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				MF4,		
·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Office Action Summary		09/682,715	DEL SIGNORE, GIOVANNI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		HOEY, BETSEY	1724			
Dariad f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SH THE - Exto afte - If th - If N - Fail - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was the toright of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 M	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.		
·	,					
2a)☐	·—	is action is non-final.	nsecution as to th	ne merits is		
3)⊡ Disposi	closed in accordance with the practice under a tion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.	ic ments is		
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	l.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 and 16 is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers					
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examir	ner.		
400	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep					
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	annitei.				
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120) (d) o~ (f)			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(8	ı)-(a) or (t).			
а)	- November of the second				
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document		on No			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			l Otano		
*	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			al application).		
	 a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	ovisional application has been rec	eived.			
Attachme						
1) 🔀 Not 2) 🗌 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P			

Application/Control Number: 09/682,715

Art Unit: 1724

٩.

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 5-15 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the process of claims 1-4 can only be practiced with the apparatus of claims 5-15. This is not found persuasive because although the process may require the apparatus, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice a different process, as described in paper #4. This condition is sufficient for a restriction requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 6-10 and 13 objected to because of the following informalities: the word following a colon should not be capitalized; claims are required to be a single sentence; "the said gas" is incorrect and should be changed to either "the gas" or "said gas". Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claims 6-15 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form for a multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). First of all, the apparatus claims cannot depend on the process claims, and therefore the phrase "according to one or more of the preceding claims" is improper because the preceding claims include the process claims. Secondly, a multiple dependent claim cannot depend on another multiple dependent claim, so the phrase "according to one or more of the preceding claims" is also improper because the preceding claims include other multiple dependent claims. Accordingly, these claims not been further treated on the merits.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 09/682,715 Art Unit: 1724 Claims 5-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being 5. indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "particularly" in claim 5 renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the term are part of the claimed invention (see MPEP § 2173.05). The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that 6. form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action: A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(e) the invention was described in-(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a). Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Casado 7. Gimenez et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,224,744. Casado Gimenez et al. teach an electrolytic cell comprising a plurality of electrodes, wherein the anodes may be iron; an inlet for waste water to be treated; compartments through which the waste water may circulate; and an inlet for oxygen gas. Claims 6-15 have not been examined against prior art because it is unclear 8. which of the limitations are intended to be included in each claim, given the nature of the multiple dependency errors. However, it appears that the combination of all of the limitations appearing in claims 5 and 7 together may be allowable over the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,715

Art Unit: 1724

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betsey Hoey whose telephone number is (703) 305-3934. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM, and on alternate Fridays from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. David Simmons, can be reached at (703) 308-1972. The fax phone number for official after final faxes for this Group is 703-872-9311 for all other official faxes the number is 703-872-9310, and for unofficial faxes the number is (703) 305-7115. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

BETSEY MORRISON HOEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

July 12, 2002